

Production Optimization with Industrie 4.0

Bachelorarbeit

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades „Bachelor of Science (B. Sc.)“ im Studiengang
Wirtschaftswissenschaft der Wirtschaftswissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Leibniz Universität
Hannover

vorgelegt von

Name: Bandrabur

Vorname: Jon

 _____

 _____

Prüfer: Prof. Dr. nat. Michael H. Breitner

Ort, den Hannover 11.08.2016

*(Datum der Beendigung der Arbeit)

Table of Contents

List of Figures	I
List of Tables	II
List of Abbreviations	III
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation and relevance	1
1.2 Purpose and procedure	2
2 What is Industrie4.0	3
2.1 Components of Industrie4.0	3
2.2 Relevance of the Components	5
2.3 Changing Business Models due to Industrie 4.0	7
3 Maintenance	10
3.1 Maintenance Strategies	10
3.2 Condition-based Maintenance	11
3.3 Predictive/Smart Maintenance and Chances for Industrie 4.0	14
4 Efficiency modelling of Industrie 4.0 in production	15
4.1 Motivation and Procedure	15
4.2 Modelling Assumptions	16
4.2.1 Assumption for the First Modelling Approach	16
4.2.2 Assumptions for the Modelling Approach considering Failure Time	18
4.2.3 Assumptions for the Industrie 4.0 Modelling Approach	19
4.3 Methodology	24
4.4 Implementation	26
4.4.1 Machine to Machine Communication regarding Service Times	27
4.4.2 Machine to Machine Communication regarding Failure Times	28
4.5 Limitations	30
4.6 Results	31
5 Conclusion and Further Outlook	35
Appendix A	35
Appendix B	37
Appendix C	38
Lines of Code	40
6 References	49

List of Figures

Figure 1: Based on (Spath et al. 2013, p.31) survey of automation within company .. 7

Figure 2: Flexibility is key in the future on the basis Spath et al. (2013 p.89) and progressed..... 8

Figure 3: Decentralized production and chances/challenges based on Spath et al. (2013, p.106) 10

Figure 4: Learning System of a Sensor based Maintenance System (cf. Tiwari et al. 2007, p.6) 14

Figure 5: Example for a production system 17

Figure 6: Dependence for the Service Times throughout the system 20

Figure 7: Example for M2M communication 22

Figure 8: Distribution of Service Time for calculations 26

Figure 9: M2M Communication with expansion due to Failure Time 28

Figure 10: Preventive Maintenance example..... 29

Figure 11: Industrie 4.0 Base Model results 33

Figure 12: M2M Communication Results regarding Service Time 34

Figure 13: M2M Communication Results regarding Predictive Maintenance 34

List of Tables

Table 1: Literature Review on CBM following Webstar & Watson (2002)..... 13

Table 2: Case differentiation within the model 21

Table 3: Service Times used for Calculation 31

Table 4: System Results for Basic Stochastic Model..... 31

Table 5: System Results for Failure Model..... 32

Table 6: Mandatory Data for Production System with Breakdowns (evenly distributed)
..... 36

Table 7: Data for an unevenly distributed service time production system (Upward facing)..... 36

Table 8: Data for unevenly distributed service time production system (downward facing)..... 36

Table 9: Data for unevenly distributed service time production system (bell curve) . 36

Table 10: Mandatory Data for Production System with Breakdowns (evenly distributed)
..... 37

Table 11: Data for an unevenly distributed service time production system (Upward facing)..... 37

Table 12: Data for unevenly distributed service time production system (downward facing)..... 37

Table 13: Data for unevenly distributed service time production system (bell curve) 37

Table 14: Evenly distributed Production System for Industrie 4.0..... 38

Table 15: Unevenly distributed Production System for Industrie 4.0 (Upward facing)
..... 38

Table 16: Unevenly distributed Production System for Industrie 4.0 (Downward facing)
..... 38

Table 17: Unevenly distributed Production System for Industrie 4.0 (Bell curve) 38

Table 18: System Results for Industrie 4.0 Base Model 39

Table 19: Base model after 50 Simulations 39

Table 20: System Results for Industrie 4.0 with M2M Communication regarding Service Time 39

Table 21: M2M Communication regarding Service time after 50 Simulations 39

Table 22: System Results for Industrie 4.0 with M2M Communication regarding Maintenance and Breakdwons 39

Table 23 M2M Communication regarding Predictive Maintenance after 50 Simulations
..... 39

List of Abbreviations

B2B: Business to Business

CM: Corrective Maintenance

CPS: Cyber Physical Systems

IoT: Internet of Things

M2M: Machine to Machine

PM: Preventive Maintenance

WS: Workstation

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation and relevance

Germany is one of the primary locations for manufacturing. Considering not only big manufacturing companies like BMW, Volkswagen or Daimler, but also Airbus, Lufthansa and the Port of Hamburg can be seen as such, in cooperating not logistic schemes but the importance of efficiency drives those Industries.

With three industrial revolutions, that happened to be mainly within the 20th century, all changes focused on the automation of production systems, the fourth industrial revolution now is within the making. The main goal hereby was to eliminate failures, and increase the output of production. Regardless, the product produced, the objective was to increase the output. The first three industrial revolutions focused on producing one equal good with less input, automation lead to mass production of one good. This leading to all people buying the same good. Consequently, manufacturing systems and industries had no need to adapt to different products.

The automation process firstly considered just the assembly line, which made human beings work the same task all day. By this monotonous work scale effects were generated, which increased production as well decreased health for all labour. Later control systems were introduced, and also the importance of information management increased. Within Industrie 4.0 the aspect of smart factories was introduced, which implemented communicating systems and objects. These systems not only know which type of job should be produced for which customer, furthermore it can detect every element needed to produce the good at the end.

One of the main reasons for the impact of Industrie 4.0 was the connection of the physical world with the virtual world. As the importance of information has increased within the past years, the linkage of information and the physical production is provided within Industrie 4.0. The possibility of a production system, which is able to detect the type of job, which it produced at the moment, as well as the type of job following on that are stunning, imaging that before production only produced one good per assembly line. Also the impact of information and so called cyber physical systems are tremendous, as maintaining activities can be made preventively and furthermore, could be limited as the state of each workstation is known ex-ante.

As these kind of information systems are linked to the physical world and are not only increasing the information flows, but increases transparency and communication

5 Conclusion and Further Outlook

The results created through this paper are theoretical assumptions to generate the optimal use of Industrie 4.0 components within production. Hereby focussing on the output maximization, which in this case, was the production of WS 5. The results of the theoretical analysis were that the predictive maintenance would have more impact than a WS knowing service times of the WS around itself.

Many assumptions were made, to simplify both the model, and the simulation. Only one job was observed, which disregarded the flexibility characteristic, which nowadays is necessary to stay competitive as a manufacturer. Simultaneously ignoring the adapting time of a WS to another. To have more significant results for manufacturing businesses this aspect needs to be researched in combination with preventive maintenance.

Another aspect that should be taken into account is the research regarding merging and splitting streams of production. Nowadays, manufacturing systems are less aligned as an assembly line, because it limits or even eliminated the opportunity to produce different products. These days diversity is as important as efficiency. Therefore, future research should combine these two aspects, and try to find optimal ways, to increase flexibility as well as staying efficient in production.

Since this work is only based on a theoretical model, future research should generate data from realistic production systems prior to the implementation of Industrie 4.0. Subsequently, the results should be compared with each other since the differences could differ from the outcome of this theoretical approach.

The results arising from this theoretical model have a strong impact on the throughput and final production results. Two approaches from the field of M2M communication were introduced. Observed first was the M2M communication, considering the service time, which was known by a previous WS. This approach increased the production, but at the same time increased utilization, which as a total does not seem to be efficient. The introduction of predictive maintenance methods proved to be an efficient approach that resulted in an almost perfect outcome. The throughput was almost maximised. Missing only one job, which has not been done by now. Moreover, the CT of a job within the system decreased, to almost the sum of all service times. This leading to an efficient production system.

Consequently, to organise production systems efficient, the breakdown times, or occurrence of breakdowns should be minimised, which results in the almost maximum output, considering an assembly line, with one kind of job.

Appendix A

In appendix A all results that are tested for the Base Model will be found within the tables below. Also all values that were entered are presented for every Workstation as well as Arrival streams.